

APPENDIX B-3

Summary of Written Comments Received from Web Site

Appendix B-3 Summary of Written Comments Received from Web Site

Date	From	Comments
July 13, 2004	Frances A. Guerrero, Ordot/Chalan Pago	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Raised in Chalan Pago and is familiar with unsightly stench and view of Ordot Dump. • Relocate the Ordot Dump to another area. Give the people of Ordot/Chalan Pago a break. • Future landfill may not be as bad as existing dump. • Get the military involved. Why not join the military and access their dump area in Yigo? Aren't we concerned about the island as a whole?
July 13, 2004	Texas A & M Foundation: Attorney Patrick Mason	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Foundation owns property within the proposed Sabanan Batea landfill site. • Concern that archaeological sites within the landfill footprint at Sabanan Batea would be disturbed. Recommended using another location. Potential Chamorro burial grounds may be present at Sabanan Batea. If burials are found, mitigation would be an added expense and delay project. • Concern that trucks and heavy equipment must go through the Ordot or Yona residential areas during and after landfill construction, creating a safety hazard for children and a nuisance for residents. Construction of a bypass road would be expensive. Prefers a site with easier road access. • Landfill is incompatible with existing land use at Sabanan Batea. Site is in immediate area of the Leo Palace Resort, which serves Guam's tourist economy and recreational needs (golf, baseball and other sports). • Landfill would discourage future development in Sabanan Batea area. • Residents around the Ordot Dump have had to deal with the many problems created by the dump for so many years now. Maybe, in fairness, it is time to locate the landfill at a different part of the island.
July 18, 2004	Benny Paulino	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Requested copy of Consent Decree. Was able to locate it on project Web site.
July 23, 2004	Timothy A. Leon Guerrero, Douglassville, PA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consider number of rainy days, average precipitation, and tropical system frequency in your site selection criteria. Areas prone to precipitation will hamper construction and generate lots of leachate. Given the size of the island, there may not be much variation in these variables. • Consider closeness and ability to plug into the power grid if landfill gas will be used to generate electricity. • Consider stream size near the landfill in case an on-site treatment plant needs to be built. Small or no perennial stream near the site could cause trouble if the facility needs an NPDS permit and has to build a long pipeline to discharge. If you are building an on-site treatment plant consider proximity to a large industrial water user who could purchase treated water. • Emphasize closeness to sources and ease of transportation. A remote site will be harder to get to after a major storm. The facility will have to be able to handle large volumes of construction demo waste after such an event. • Consider closeness to residential areas if facility will have compost or recycle operations; hopefully the new facility will compost yard wastes. • Consider ability to zone surrounding properties for industrial or commercial uses. This will help maintain a buffer zone around the facility and provide possible users of landfill resources (electricity from gas recovery, recycling, composting, water intensive industries to use treated effluent).

Appendix B-3 Summary of Written Comments Received from Web Site

Date	From	Comments
July 27, 2004	Art Taimanglo, Inarajan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concern that landfill footprint at Dandan was shifted closer to populated areas at Malojloj to avoid high water table. • Concern that landfill in Dandan would affect drinking water and violate Clean Water Act and the Safe Drinking Water Act. • Concern that landfills are extremely harmful to the environment, wildlife and humans. • Concern that proximity of Malojloj and Inarajan residences is less than a mile away from Dandan site. Some U.S. landfill sites are three miles from residential areas.
July 30, 2004	Recycling Association of Guam, Paul Tobiason, President	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Any new landfill (wherever located) should have strict policy on what material may be placed there. Yard waste should be banned from the landfill but a nearby location should be available to accept this as an alternative. Aluminum waste, either scrap or beverage cans, should also be banned. Vehicles entering should be checked by landfill operators for this. And there must be some sort of enforcement of these operators to make sure they comply; i.e., unannounced pickup truck delivery of waste with these materials with the driver being an inspector. • A wash down of commercial trash trucks with hot steam or water should be required upon exit at landfill. Often trucks smell very badly due to the purification of wet-waste garbage from homes and restaurants. And, they are often seen dripping liquid upon our roads. If the landfill operator must operate and maintain this, it will not be necessary for multiple units to be purchased and operated by various waste haulers. And the liquid effluent will be localized at the new landfill. • EA Engineering is displayed on project Web site with a link to their web site, but there was no reference to any Guam activity or operating office. • Noted that Guam Public Works does not have a link on project Web site. Since DPW is currently in the Ordot Guam dump operation and waste hauling, there should be a web link to this agency and this division. There should be an e-mail link to communicate with the solid waste management with questions, suggestions, comments, etc. This will also enable DPW to provide waste management information to the general public, banned items, dump operation days/hours, etc.
August 2, 2004	Angelica Mantanona	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Suggests that three sites be selected one in the north one in the south and one nearest to the harbor. At the two sites located in the south and up north the ONLY waste that these sites will accept are paper, plastic, aluminum, and glass. The third site, which should be closest to the harbor, should be the area for hazardous/metallic waste so that it would be easiest to transport to ships. Residents should separate their trash in containers so that on different days the Department of Public Works will pick up a certain type of waste material and bring it to the nearest site. The government can sell waste to other companies and gain a profit. • We need to have high morale and accountability from our government in order for the people to progress and upgrade our island of Guam. • It takes a community to create a large mass of waste then it will take a community to be accountable for the waste they produce.
August 3, 2004	Andrew Manglona	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide soil boring log description at Danadan, Sabanan Bateya and Lonfit. Please provide mapping on location for the public to view. • During the scoping meeting Dandan was always identified as 3000 acres. What is the exact acres on map used on scoping meeting for Dandan highlighted on the proposed landfill (3000 acres or 1859 acres), acres less wetland, less unsuitable areas due to slopes and less areas due to minimal area, so how many acres left suitable for the proposed landfill? • Concern about public money used to fund EIS. How much is the public paying for this EIS report?